CR 283 of 2003.

3.9.2007 Present: Mr. Bhupinder Gupta, Sr. Advocate, with Mr. Janesh Gupta, counsel for the petitioners.

None for the respondent.

The appellants before the District Judge have preferred this revision against the order passed by the learned District Judge dismissing their application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act refusing to condone delay for instituting the appeal.

The learned District Judge has found as a fact that the applicants-appellants were aware regarding the dismissal of the suit as he was present in Court on the day when the suit was decided. The Court also notes that some offer for compromise was being made. No reason has been given as to why the appeal has been preferred after period prescribed for limitation. I do not find any jurisdictional error having been committed by the learned District Judge, who has dismissed the application after due consideration of facts. In the circumstances, this revision petition is dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs.

September 3, 2007(PC). (Dev Darshan Sud), J.